Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/884,311	ADLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
VIRGIL HERRING	2132	

	VIRGIL HERRING	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
HE REPLY FILED <u>01 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause		
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	softesponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendme 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,12-17,19,21 and 22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered buseline Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Gilberto Barron Jr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2132					

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Cancellation of the claims would overcome the objections to claims 15, 16, and 22, and rejection of claims 12-17, 21, and 22.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive. As stated by SPE Gilberto Barron in the final office action, the rules defining providing personal identifiable information by any user to another user is disclosed in the Benantar reference. The claims do not link the original data set requested by the first user to the transmission of that data set to the third user. References to personally identifiable information are generic, and with a broad but reasonable interpretation the data set requested by the third entity is any data and not the one argued by the Applicant. Furthermore, the addition of the "said", though it is a small change, substantially alters the scope of the claim, and thus would require further search and consideration.